

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 25, 2001 LB 536

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why would...

SENATOR DIERKS: No, I do not agree with your amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If I might ask, why would you disagree with that? Having said that you disagree, why do you disagree?

SENATOR DIERKS: It would destroy the bill.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Let me ask a different set of questions first.

SENATOR DIERKS: All right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would the adoption of this amendment save the state some money in terms of what would have to be expended?

SENATOR DIERKS: Save the state money?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR DIERKS: I don't think so. I think it would cost the state money. We think that the whole process is designed to make money for the citizens of the state, the farmers, the ethanol production people.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If my amendment were adopted, would any subsidies be paid to these plants that are trying to produce ethanol?

SENATOR DIERKS: Not from the EPIC Fund.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Say it again.

SENATOR DIERKS: Not from the EPIC Fund.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then that would save some money for the state, wouldn't it?

SENATOR DIERKS: Yes, I suppose it would.